

Padbury Parish Council

Bloor Homes Proposed Development Survey

Free Text Responses

Q3 *If you support any or all of the proposal, please indicate below your reasons why.*

Homes are needed for people locally

Least disruptive site to the village as a whole

No apartments. 3-4 bed houses are considered to be appropriate

Limited development on this site would be least obtrusive

More houses needed in the village

Affordable housing required for younger families

We feel that Padbury should accept change, which includes the development of new housing. However, we feel that the development should be in keeping with the heritage/character of the village and take into account the lack of facilities in the village.

No more houses. Wishes to retain existing view from house

Village needs some development, but 40 is too many. Crossing for the school would be critical

Not opposed to infill development on site, but area is too large. Style of houses might not complement village location

Villages will have to take some development, and this site with its good access is as good as any

Some housing required, but large scale is not suitable

Insufficient infrastructure to sustain this level of development

Out of all the proposed sites, this would be the only one suitable, but on smaller scale

We must expect some development. A SMALL one would not affect the nature of the village too much

We have no objections to small developments with approximately 5 houses per year

I emphatically DO NOT support any of the proposals

Support is conditional on a smaller development, and infrastructure being able to take it. Padbury will have to expand and this is probably the best option site

I do not support the proposal by Bloor Homes at all

Limited small developments are good for villages, but larger developments may destroy the village character

In principle not opposed to development on this site, but area too large

This is the only area which is basically viable for mixed housing. Ideally no development here but elsewhere in the country (eg regeneration in northern counties)

The village needs affordable housing and other homes to bring children in, Balance of affordable v private houses will be crucial

I support extra housing. However, the scheme neither proportionally scaled or responding to needs or infrastructure

Q5 *If you do NOT support the proposed development, which of the following describes your objections?*

Concerns about allocation of affordable housing on the site [Please provide details of your concerns if you wish]:

Affordable only for local first-time buyers

Affordable homes required.

Would like some affordable housing

Needs to be for local people and not a sink for Aylesbury

Affordable housing may be filled with 'problem' tenants from out of area.

Encourages transfers from problem tenants.

Overspill from MK or Aylesbury

People re-housed in a village who don't want to be there

No concerns re: affordable but major concerns re: social housing

These will be occupied by non-locals and will detract from village community and rural character

Concerned re: social housing being placed in Padbury

More affordable homes required. Terraced housing in Steeple Claydon as an example

Often people living in social housing do not want to live in a village and do not realise the constraints in doing so.

Others [please specify]:

Insufficient wi-fi in village now

No more houses

Overspill parking on Springfields

Close-knit village community will suffer

Historic ridge and furrow field. Barn owl hunting area

Depends on size of settlement

No more 4 bedroom houses required

Expansion of Buckingham sufficient for area housing development

All Souls College have little respect for the village, and would develop everything

Would encourage potential drug problem as at Candleford Court

The builders will build as few affordable houses and more expensive houses instead, as at Lodge Close

Village is already big enough for the facilities, and is an area of natural beauty

Precedent as an example of speculative development exploiting lack of local plan

Volume of housing recently built in surrounding areas

Concerns re: flooding further down the village

Social cohesion issues might happen if site is too big

Set a precedent for additional development in this area of the village

25th January 2015